

APEC Energy Intensity Reduction Goal

EGEEC 59
7 October 2022

Glen SWEETNAM
APERC



APEC Final Energy Intensity change

Annual change in APEC final energy intensity, 2006-20

	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	2005-20
Δ in FEC*	2.7%	3.6%	0.6%	-1.3%	5.5%	4.4%	1.8%	1.5%	-0.2%	0.5%	0.5%	1.6%	3.4%	0.2%	-3.9%	22.6%
Δ in GDP (PPP, constant 2018 USD)	5.4%	5.5%	2.9%	-0.2%	5.7%	4.2%	4.2%	3.8%	3.8%	3.6%	3.4%	4.1%	4.1%	3.4%	-1.8%	66.5%
Δ in final energy intensity	-2.5%	-1.8%	-2.2%	-1.1%	-0.2%	0.2%	-2.3%	-2.2%	-3.9%	-3.0%	-2.8%	-2.4%	-0.7%	-3.0%	-2.1%	-26.4%


* *FEC* – final energy consumption (excluding non-energy)
 Δ = change

Sources: APEC statistics (EGEDA), APERC analysis


- In 2020, COVID 19 caused a decline in GDP and final energy consumption.
- The result is similar what we saw in the 2009 during the financial crisis.
- Final energy intensity fell 26.4% between 2005 and 2020.

APEC Final Energy Intensity change: end-use sectors contribution

Subsector contribution on Energy Intensity from 2005-2020




Final Energy Consumption comparison between 2019 and 2020 (PJ)



Sources: APEC statistics (EGEDA), APERC analysis

- Compared to 2019, final energy consumption in transportation fell 11.4% in 2020 as a result of COVID-19 mobility restrictions.


Industry



Sources: APEC statistics (EGEDA), APERC analysis

Transport


Historical change (2005-2020)



Sources: APEC statistics (EGEDA), APERC analysis



Buildings

Historical change (2005-2020)



Sources: APEC statistics (EGEDA), APERC analysis

Decomposing the drivers of energy use in transport



$$\Delta PJ = \Delta Activity + \Delta Engine Type + \Delta Residual Efficiency$$


This is based on the log mean divisia index method.

Sources: APERC analysis


- Engine Type column shows that the effect of switching to EVs (and other powertrains) in the CN causes energy use to drop by almost 50%.
- Other results show that mode switching has a much smaller effect.

Final energy consumption versus GDP

2019/2018 change




2020/2019 change



- Despite COVID-19 pandemic in 2020, FEC in some economies* has increased compared to 2019 while most economies' FEC has decreased
- Similar to 2009 – 2011, the short-term economic and energy effects are uncertain

APEC Final Energy Intensity Reduction Goal in 8th edition

Final energy intensity (2005 = 100)



Sources: APEC statistics (EGEDA), APERC analysis, excludes non-energy.

- **In 2035**, final energy intensity improves by 46.3% (REF) and 52.5% (CN).
- The goal could be achieved before the target year 2035 in both scenarios (REF: 2034, CN: 2031).
- In REF, final energy intensity improves by almost 60% (2005-2050).
- In CN, final energy intensity improves by 70% (2005-2050).

Energy Intensity and CO₂ emissions

1. Lowering energy intensity can be generally beneficial for reducing carbon emissions to achieve sustainable growth.
 - Kaya identity typically shows the relationship between energy intensity and CO₂ emissions.
2. Kaya identity separates CO₂ emissions into four factors* including energy intensity.

* population, GDP per capita, energy supply intensity, and carbon intensity

- Defined as below:

$$CO_2 \text{ emissions} = \text{Population} * \frac{GDP}{\text{Population}} * \frac{\text{Energy supply}}{GDP} * \frac{CO_2 \text{ emissions}}{\text{Energy supply}}$$

(GDP per capita) (Energy Intensity) (Emissions intensity)


Macroeconomic

Technologies and Policies

- Final energy intensity goal is similar to “energy supply intensity” shown earlier.

Energy and emissions intensity improvements in 8th edition

CO2 emissions components, 2018 and 2050 (million tonnes)



Sources: UNFCCC, EGEDA, APERC analysis. Notes: excludes non-energy, land-use, and methane emissions.

- Lower energy intensity delivers approximately three-quarters of the emissions reductions in REF and CN.
- In CN, energy and emissions intensity reductions provide roughly equal incremental benefits.

Summary

COVID 19 creates uncertainty about near term energy intensity

COVID lockdowns impacted transport sector

Will there be a “rebound”?

Outlook indicates APEC on track to meet energy intensity goal

APERC will continue to track emissions intensity

Thank you.

glen.sweetnam@aperc.or.jp

